

Hamiltonian Formulation of Bianchi Cosmological Models in Quadratic Theories of Gravity

J. Demaret*and L. Querella^{†‡}

Groupe de Cosmologie Thorique

Institut d'Astrophysique

Université de Liège

B-4000 Liège Belgium.

February 7, 2008

*e-mail: u2126jd@vm1.ulg.ac.be

[†]e-mail: querella@kometh.astro.ulg.ac.be

[‡]boursier F.R.I.A.

Abstract

We use Boulware's Hamiltonian formalism of quadratic gravity theories in order to analyze the classical behaviour of Bianchi cosmological models for a Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L} = R + \beta_c R^2$ in four space-time dimensions. For this purpose we define a canonical transformation which leads to a clear distinction between two main variants of the general quadratic theory, i.e. for $\mathcal{L} = R + \beta_c R^2$ or conformal $\mathcal{L} = \alpha_c C^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} C_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ Lagrangian densities. In this paper we restrict the study to the first variant. For Bianchi-type I and IX models, we give the explicit forms of the super-Hamiltonian constraint, of the ADM Hamiltonian density and of the corresponding canonical equations. In the case of a pure quadratic theory $\mathcal{L} = \beta_c R^2$, we solve them analytically for Bianchi I model. For Bianchi-type IX model, we reduce the first-order equations of the Hamiltonian system to three coupled second-order equations for the true physical degrees of freedom. This discussion is extended to isotropic FLRW models.

PACS numbers: 0420F, 0420J, 0450, 9880H

Running title: Bianchi models in quadratic theories of gravity

1 Introduction

Recent propositions of unified field theories have stressed the importance of including in the basic Lagrangian, in addition to the Einstein-Hilbert scalar curvature term of General Relativity, non linear terms in the various curvature tensors, leading to the so-called *Higher Derivative Gravity Theories*. The basic motivation for studying these theories comes from the fact that they provide one possible approach to an as yet unknown quantum theory of gravity. Moreover these theories occur as a necessary low-energy outcome of superstring theory [1], even if the issue of the specific choice of the non linear terms that have to be kept into the Lagrangian remains somewhat controversial [2]. Be that as it may, in the very early universe the structure of classical solutions of higher derivative gravity may provide a better approximation to some low-energy limit of quantum cosmological solutions than those provided by General Relativity. Therefore it is of interest to study also the classical solution space of these theories. In particular, quadratic theory which has been studied in great detail is generally viewed as a possible basis for quantum gravity [3], since it is renormalizable and asymptotically free [4]. In four dimensions, due to the Gauss-Bonnet topological invariant, the corresponding Lagrangian contains only two quadratic terms among three possible ones : R^2 , $R_{\alpha\beta}R^{\alpha\beta}$ and $R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}R^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$, where R , $R_{\alpha\beta}$ and $R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ denote as usual the scalar curvature, the Ricci and Riemann tensors respectively. Therefore, the R -squared term as well as a quadratic term in the Weyl tensor, $C_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}C^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$, can be chosen as the two independent quadratic terms of the effective action of the theory.

Cosmological applications of this formalism have been considered mainly in the context of inflationary cosmology, since the R -squared term has the virtue of inducing an early inflationary stage in the spatially homogeneous and isotropic Friedmann-Lematre-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) models [5]. At the quantum level, Hawking and Luttrell [6] have examined the effect of

curvature-squared terms on the wave function of the closed FLRW model, in the framework of Hartle-Hawking's path integral approach to quantum gravity. However, due to the conformal flatness of FLRW metric, the Weyl-squared term plays no role, while the R -squared one behaves like a massive scalar field. For pure fourth-order ($\mathcal{L} \sim R^2$) quantum cosmology, the Wheeler-De Witt equation has been solved approximatively by Kasper [7] and exactly for the same model by Pimentel and Obregón [8]. The quantum cosmological consequences of introducing a cubic term in the scalar curvature into the Einstein-Hilbert action have been investigated by van Elst, Lidsey and Tavakol [9].

Although some work has been devoted to the study of the classical dynamics of Bianchi cosmological models in quadratic theories of gravity, it has been performed without any explicit reference to an Hamiltonian formulation. However any canonical method of quantization of constrained systems is based, at a fundamental level, on Hamiltonian concepts [10] and especially on the explicit use of the so-called *super-Hamiltonian* and *supermomenta* constraints.

Hamiltonian formulation of General Relativity has been mainly developed in classical work by Dirac [11] and Arnowitt, Deser and Misner (ADM) [12]. It was applied to classical cosmological models including Bianchi ones, giving rise to the so-called *Hamiltonian Cosmology* [13]. Its quantum counterpart, *Quantum Cosmology* [14], is based on the application of canonical or path integral quantization methods of General Relativity to the very early stages of the universe, i.e. in the neighbourhood of Planck's time.

Due to the complexity of the task, Hamiltonian formulations of theories with non linear Lagrangians in the curvature have up to now been restricted to quadratic Lagrangians only. They have been developed first of all for four dimensional space-times by Boulware [15] and Buchbinder-Lyakhovich (B & L) [16], and for multidimensional space-times by the two last authors and Karataeva [17]. The main objective of these formulations was the build-

ing of a consistent quantum theory for higher derivative theories of gravity. No systematic application of this formalism to cosmological models at the classical or quantum level has been attempted, with the exception already mentioned above concerning FLRW models.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In section 2 we summarize the main results of the Hamiltonian formulation of general quadratic gravity theories and settle down our notation. In section 3 we use this Hamiltonian formalism in the case of the Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian containing a quadratic R^2 correction term for the classical study of spatially homogeneous cosmological models. We give the explicit form of the super-Hamiltonian constraint, of the ADM Hamiltonian density and of the corresponding canonical equations for Bianchi-type I and IX models. In the particular case of a pure quadratic theory ($\mathcal{L} = \beta_c R^2$), we solve them analytically for Bianchi I model. For Bianchi-type IX model, we reduce the first-order equations of the Hamiltonian system to three coupled second-order equations for the true physical degrees of freedom. In section 4 this discussion is extended to isotropic FLRW cosmological models. In the last section we discuss our results and further investigations initiated by this work.

2 Hamiltonian formalism

In classical mechanics, it is possible to construct a well defined Hamiltonian formalism only if the Lagrangian is regular (i.e. the Hessian determinant of the Poisson-Hamilton transformation is not equal to zero). In the opposite case, the system is said constrained and we have to use Dirac's approach [10] in order to define a consistent Legendre transformation. When dealing with higher order field theories (in which Lagrangians depend on derivatives of the generalized coordinates of any order), it is necessary to follow a generalized Ostrogradski's method to perform such a transformation [16]. The resulting canonical theory is consistent and it has been proved by generalization of Ostrogradski's theorem [18] that the Euler-Lagrange equations (derived from the Lagrangian by the usual variational principle) and Dirac-Hamilton canonical equations (obtained from the explicit form of the total Hamiltonian) are in fact equivalent.

As mentioned in the first section, Hamiltonian formulation of gravity theories with quadratic Lagrangians was achieved by Boulware and B & L, for four-dimensional space-times. In this section we give a short summary of their main results and in particular we write down the general expressions for the super-Hamiltonian, the supermomenta and the canonical equations which are our starting point for cosmological applications.

First of all, we settle down the notations and conventions used throughout this paper. We take as the general form of the gravitational action in four dimensions the following expression written in terms of scalar curvature and Weyl tensor¹ :

$$S_{geom} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left\{ \Lambda + \frac{R}{16\pi G} - \frac{\alpha_c}{4} C^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} C_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} + \frac{\beta_c}{8} R^2 \right\} \quad (1)$$

where α_c and β_c are coupling constants, Λ is the cosmological constant, G is Newton's constant and $C_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ is the Weyl tensor in four dimensions defined

¹We use MTW conventions [19]

by

$$C^\alpha_{\beta\mu\nu} = R^\alpha_{\beta\mu\nu} - (\delta^\alpha_\mu R_{\beta\nu} - \delta^\alpha_\nu R_{\beta\mu} - g_{\beta\nu} R^\alpha_\mu + g_{\beta\mu} R^\alpha_\nu)/2 \\ + R (\delta^\alpha_\mu g_{\beta\nu} - \delta^\alpha_\nu g_{\beta\mu})/6$$

As in ADM method, we assume a foliation of space-time in spacelike 3-dimensional hypersurfaces and we use ADM coordinate basis to compute the components of the various curvature tensors. The “0” index refers to the normal component to the hypersurfaces. Subscripts ⁽⁴⁾ and ⁽³⁾ indicate quantities respectively defined over the 4-dimensional space-time and over the space-like hypersurfaces. Most of the time, when there is no ambiguity, the last subscript will be omitted. This splitting of space-time allows one to rewrite the action (1) in the following form :

$$S_{geom} = \int d^4x N {}^{(3)}g^{1/2} \left\{ \Lambda + \frac{{}^{(4)}R}{16\pi G} - 2\alpha_c C^{0i0j} C_{0i0j} - \alpha_c C^{0ijk} C_{0ijk} + \frac{\beta_c}{8} {}^{(4)}R^2 \right\} \quad (2)$$

with²

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(4)}R &= {}^{(3)}R + K^2 - 3tr K^2 - 2\frac{\nabla^2 N}{N} - 2g^{kl} \mathcal{L}_{\vec{n}} K_{kl} \\ C^0_{i0j} &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(\delta_i^k \delta_j^l - \frac{1}{3} g_{ij} g^{kl} \right) \left[\mathcal{L}_{\vec{n}} K_{kl} + \frac{N_{|kl}}{N} + K K_{kl} + {}^{(3)}R_{kl} \right] \\ C^0_{ijk} &= \left[\delta_i^l \delta_j^m \delta_k^n - \frac{1}{2} g^{ln} (g_{ik} \delta_j^m - g_{ij} \delta_k^m) \right] (K_{lm|n} - K_{ln|m}) \\ \mathcal{L}_{\vec{n}} K_{kl} &= \frac{1}{N} (K_{kl,0} - N^i K_{kl|i} - N^i_{|k} K_{il} - N^i_{|l} K_{ik}) \\ K_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_{\vec{n}} g_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2N} (g_{ij,0} - N_{i|j} - N_{j|i}) \end{aligned}$$

where K_{ij} and ${}^{(3)}R_{ij}$ are respectively the extrinsic and intrinsic curvature tensors relative to the hypersurfaces mentioned above. The symbol “|” denotes covariant differentiation in these 3-surfaces. N and N_i are respectively the “lapse” and “shift” functions which allow one to locate the 3-surfaces with respect to each other. And finally, $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{n}}$ denotes the Lie derivative along the

²In the definition of the extrinsic curvature tensor, Boulware’s sign conventions are opposite to ours

normal $\vec{n}$ to these hypersurfaces.

B & L [16] have pointed out the existence of three main variants of the theory while Boulware's heuristic approach considers only two of them, i.e. when β_c is or not equal to zero. In this paper, we use Boulware's general formalism in the case $\beta_c \neq 0$ and we restrict ourselves in the next section to the Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian density with a pure R^2 term. The conformally invariant theory ($\beta_c = 0$) will be discussed in a future paper. Using Boulware's prescriptions, the Hamiltonian form of the original action (2) can be written, up to surface terms, for the most general case as follows :

$$S_{geom} = \int d^4x N \left\{ P_{ij} \mathcal{L}_{\vec{n}} Q^{ij} + p^{ij} \mathcal{L}_{\vec{n}} g_{ij} - \mathcal{H}(g, Q, p, P) \right\} \quad (3)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(g, Q, p, P) = & -2p^{ij} P_{ij} + \alpha_c g^{1/2} C^{oijk} C_{oijk} - \frac{Q^{Tij} Q_{ij}^T}{2\alpha_c g^{1/2}} \\ & - \Lambda g^{1/2} + \frac{Q^2}{18\beta_c g^{1/2}} + Q^{ij}{}_{|ij} + Q^{ij} R_{ij} \\ & - \frac{Q}{2} R - \frac{g^{1/2}}{16\pi G} [R + tr P^2 - P^2] \\ & + Q^{ij} P_{ij} P + \frac{Q}{2} (tr p^2 - p^2) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$$Q^{ij} = g^{1/2} \left(2\alpha_c C^{0i0j} + \frac{\beta_c}{2} {}^{(4)}R g^{ij} \right) \quad (5)$$

$$p^{ij} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\mathcal{L}_{\vec{n}} Q^{ij} - \frac{\delta \tilde{\mathcal{H}}}{\delta K_{ij}} \right)$$

$$P_{ij} = K_{ij}$$

where the trace and the traceless part of a tensor A_{ij} are denoted respectively by A and A_{ij}^T , $tr A^2 = A^{ij} A_{ij}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(g, Q, K) = & K Q^{ij} K_{ij} + Q^{ij} R_{ij} + Q^{ij}{}_{|ij} - \frac{Q^{Tij} Q_{ij}^T}{2\alpha_c g^{1/2}} + \\ & \frac{Q^2}{18\beta_c g^{1/2}} + \frac{Q}{2} (tr K^2 - K^2 - R) - \Lambda g^{1/2} - \\ & \frac{g^{1/2}}{16\pi G} (R + tr K^2 - K^2) + \alpha_c g^{1/2} C^{0ijk} C_{0ijk} \end{aligned}$$

Q^{ij} is a tensor introduced in order to reduce the order of the Lagrangian as in Ostrogradski's method. The canonical variables are g_{ij} and Q^{ij} and their conjugate momenta are p^{ij} and P_{ij} respectively. We can also write the action (3) in the usual Hamiltonian form, where all the constraints are manifest³ :

$$S_{geom} = \int d^4x \left[P_{ij} \dot{Q}^{ij} + p^{ij} \dot{g}_{ij} - \mathcal{H}^*(g, Q, p, P) \right] \quad (6)$$

where we have introduced the total Hamiltonian constraint $\mathcal{H}^*$ as

$$\mathcal{H}^* = N\mathcal{H} + N^k \mathcal{H}_k = N^\mu \mathcal{H}_\mu \quad (7)$$

$\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}_k$ are respectively the super-Hamiltonian and supermomenta (or spatial constraints) given by (4) and

$$\mathcal{H}_k = -Q^{ij} P_{ij|k} + 2(P_{ik} Q^{ij})_{|j} - 2g_{ik} p^{ij}_{|j} \quad (8)$$

The constraint analysis leads to the definition of Dirac's Hamiltonian density

$$\mathcal{H}_D = N^\mu \mathcal{H}_\mu + \lambda_\mu \Pi^\mu \quad (9)$$

where N^μ and λ_μ act as Lagrange multipliers and $\Pi^\mu = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial N_\mu}$ are primary constraints. The complete set of constraints is $\{\mathcal{H}_\mu, \Pi^\mu\}$ and it is easy to see that $\mathcal{H}_\mu$ are secondary constraints, i.e. that they result from the conservation of the primary ones, Π^μ . Moreover all these constraints are first-class and the number of physical degrees of freedom is eight in the general case.

Dirac-Hamilton's equations are :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{g}_{ij} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_D}{\partial p^{ij}} \\ \dot{p}^{ij} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_D}{\partial g_{ij}} \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{Q}^{ij} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_D}{\partial P_{ij}} \\ \dot{P}_{ij} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_D}{\partial Q^{ij}} \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{N}_\mu = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_D}{\partial \Pi^\mu} = \lambda_\mu \\ \dot{\Pi}^\mu = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_D}{\partial N_\mu} = -\mathcal{H}^\mu \approx 0 \end{array} \right.$$

For particular quadratic Lagrangian densities, including the cases $\mathcal{L} = R + \beta_c R^2$ and $\mathcal{L} = \alpha_c C^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} C_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$, there occur new constraints. Therefore Hamiltonian formalisms for distinct variants of the general theory do not use the

³Dots denote as usual time derivatives

same canonical variables and are leading to distinct algebra of constraints [16]. For our purpose, at the classical level, it is not necessary to follow B & L's analysis. It is sufficient to start from the general form of Boulware's action (3) and to impose directly the constraints that arise from the particular form of the Lagrangian density, when the quadratic Weyl term is neglected.

3 Bianchi cosmological models

With the results of the preceding section, we are now able to consider some cosmological applications. Our purpose is to compute the explicit expressions of the constraints and the canonical equations for Bianchi cosmological models in a $\mathcal{L} = R + \beta_c R^2$ theory, then to look for analytic solutions. This programme can be achieved with the help of REDUCE 3.5.

The 3-metric of any diagonal Bianchi-type model can be written as follows :

$$ds^2 = e^{2\mu} \left[e^{2(\beta_+ + \sqrt{3}\beta_-)} (\tilde{\omega}^1)^2 + e^{2(\beta_+ - \sqrt{3}\beta_-)} (\tilde{\omega}^2)^2 + e^{-4\beta_+} (\tilde{\omega}^3)^2 \right] \quad (10)$$

where μ , β_+ , β_- are functions of time only and the set $\{\tilde{\omega}^i\}$ is a 1-form basis with structure coefficients C_{jk}^i :

$$d\tilde{\omega}^i = \frac{1}{2} C_{jk}^i \tilde{\omega}^j \wedge \tilde{\omega}^k$$

In order to have a clear distinction between pure R -squared and C -squared variants of the theory, it is more convenient to perform a canonical transformation from the original set of canonical variables $\{g, Q, p, P\}$ to the following one :

$$\{\mu, \beta_+, \beta_-; \Pi_\mu, \Pi_+, \Pi_-; Q_+, Q_-, Q_n; P_+, P_-, P_n\}$$

This transformation is defined by the following relations :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} g_{11} = e^{2\mu} e^{2(\beta_+ + \sqrt{3}\beta_-)} \\ g_{22} = e^{2\mu} e^{2(\beta_+ - \sqrt{3}\beta_-)} \\ g_{33} = e^{2\mu} e^{-4\beta_+} \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Pi_1^1 = \frac{1}{12}(2\Pi_\mu + \Pi_+ + \sqrt{3}\Pi_-) \\ \Pi_2^2 = \frac{1}{12}(2\Pi_\mu + \Pi_+ - \sqrt{3}\Pi_-) \\ \Pi_3^3 = \frac{1}{6}(\Pi_\mu - \Pi_+) \end{array} \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned} p^{ij} &= \Pi^{ij} + P_{ij}^T Q^{Tjk} + \frac{Q_n}{\sqrt{3}} P^{Tij} + \frac{P_n}{\sqrt{3}} Q^{Tij} + \frac{P_n Q_n}{\sqrt{3}} g^{ij} \\ Q^{ij} &= Q^{Tij} + \frac{Q_n}{\sqrt{3}} g^{ij} \\ P_{ij} &= P_{ij}^T + \frac{P_n}{\sqrt{3}} g_{ij} \\ Q_j^{Ti} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \text{diag} (Q_+ + \sqrt{3}Q_-, Q_+ - \sqrt{3}Q_-, -2Q_+) \\ P_j^{Ti} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \text{diag} (P_+ + \sqrt{3}P_-, P_+ - \sqrt{3}P_-, -2P_+) \end{aligned}$$

In terms of these new variables, the original action can be written as

$$S_{geom} = \int d^4\Omega \left\{ \Pi_\mu \dot{\mu} + \Pi_+ \dot{\beta}_+ + \Pi_- \dot{\beta}_- + P_+ \dot{Q}_+ + P_- \dot{Q}_- + P_n \dot{Q}_n - \mathcal{H}^* \right\}$$

with the 4-volume element $d^4\Omega = dt \wedge \tilde{\omega}^1 \wedge \tilde{\omega}^2 \wedge \tilde{\omega}^3$. This expression can be used for any diagonal Bianchi model. The explicit form of the total Hamiltonian constraint $\mathcal{H}^*$ depends on the Bianchi model considered. In the theory $\mathcal{L} = R + \beta_c R^2$, we have to impose strongly the following constraints : $Q_\pm \approx 0$. Therefore the super-Hamiltonian reduces to the following expression

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_R = & - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} Q_n P_n^2 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (\Pi_+ P_+ + \Pi_- P_-) - \frac{Q_n}{2\sqrt{3}} (P_+^2 + P_-^2) \\ & + \frac{Q_n^2}{6\beta_c} e^{-3\mu} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} P_n \Pi_\mu + \frac{Q_n}{\sqrt{3}} e^{-2\mu} V^*(\beta_+, \beta_-) \\ & + \frac{1}{8\pi G} \left[e^\mu V^*(\beta_+, \beta_-) - \frac{1}{2} e^{3\mu} (P_-^2 + P_+^2 - 2P_n^2) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where $V^*(\beta_+, \beta_-)$ is directly related to the usual potential in General Relativity for the Bianchi model considered [13].

3.1 Bianchi IX model - pure R^2 theory

The mixmaster cosmological model in $\mathcal{L} = R^2$ theory of gravity has been investigated by several authors [20] [21] with the aim of studying its asymptotic behaviour.

For this model, there are no supermomenta and the super-Hamiltonian constraint (11) depends on the following potential term :

$$V^*(\beta_+, \beta_-) = \frac{1}{2}e^{4\beta_+}[\cosh(4\sqrt{3}\beta_-) - 1] + \frac{1}{4}e^{-8\beta_+} - e^{-2\beta_+} \cosh(2\sqrt{3}\beta_-) \quad (12)$$

We can write Hamilton's equations resulting from (11) as follows :

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mu} &= -\frac{N}{\sqrt{3}}P_n \\ \dot{\beta}_{\pm} &= -\frac{N}{\sqrt{6}}P_{\pm} \\ \dot{Q}_n &= -\frac{N}{\sqrt{3}}[\Pi_{\mu} + 4P_n Q_n] + \frac{N}{4\pi G}P_n e^{3\mu} \\ \dot{Q}_{\pm} &= -\frac{N}{\sqrt{3}}\left[\frac{\Pi_{\pm}}{\sqrt{2}} + P_{\pm}Q_n\right] - \frac{N}{8\pi G}P_{\pm}e^{3\mu} \\ \dot{\Pi}_{\mu} &= N\left[\frac{1}{2\beta_c}e^{-3\mu}Q_n^2 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}e^{-2\mu}Q_n V^*(\beta_+, \beta_-)\right] \\ &\quad - \frac{N}{8\pi G}\left[e^{\mu}V^*(\beta_+, \beta_-) - 3/2e^{3\mu}(P_-^2 + P_+^2 - 2P_n^2)\right] \\ \dot{\Pi}_{\pm} &= -\frac{N}{\sqrt{3}}e^{-2\mu}Q_n \frac{\partial V^*}{\partial \beta_{\pm}} - \frac{N}{8\pi G}e^{\mu} \frac{\partial V^*}{\partial \beta_{\pm}} \\ \dot{P}_n &= N\left[\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}P_n^2 - \frac{e^{-3\mu}}{3\beta_c}Q_n + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}(P_+^2 + P_-^2) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}e^{-2\mu}V^*(\beta_+, \beta_-)\right] \end{aligned}$$

We consider now the pure R^2 theory because the resulting system of canonical equations provides a good approximation (for large R) to the more complicated system generated by the more general quadratic Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L} = R + \beta_c R^2$. Due to the conservation of constraints $Q_{\pm} \approx 0$, the canonical momenta $P_{\pm}$ are also constrained :

$$P_{\pm}Q_n = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Pi_{\pm} \quad (13)$$

and there is no other constraint for this theory. Therefore, if $Q_n \neq 0$, the Hamiltonian constraint (11) reduces to

$$\mathcal{H}_R = \frac{\Pi_+^2 + \Pi_-^2}{4\sqrt{3}Q_n} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}P_n\Pi_\mu - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}P_n^2Q_n + \frac{e^{-3\mu}}{6\beta_c}Q_n^2 + \frac{e^{-2\mu}}{\sqrt{3}}Q_nV^*(\beta_+, \beta_-) \quad (14)$$

from which we get the canonical equations :

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mu} &= -\frac{N}{\sqrt{3}}P_n \\ \dot{\beta}_\pm &= \frac{N}{2\sqrt{3}}\frac{\Pi_\pm}{Q_n} \\ \dot{\Pi}_\mu &= N\left[\frac{1}{2\beta_c}e^{-3\mu}Q_n^2 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}e^{-2\mu}Q_nV^*(\beta_+, \beta_-)\right] \\ \dot{\Pi}_\pm &= -\frac{N}{\sqrt{3}}e^{-2\mu}Q_n\frac{\partial V^*}{\partial \beta_\pm} \\ \dot{Q}_n &= -\frac{N}{\sqrt{3}}(\Pi_\mu + 4P_nQ_n) \\ \dot{P}_n &= \frac{N}{4\sqrt{3}}\left[\left(\frac{\Pi_+}{Q_n}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Pi_-}{Q_n}\right)^2 + 8P_n^2\right] - \frac{N}{3\beta_c}e^{-3\mu}Q_n - \frac{N}{\sqrt{3}}e^{-2\mu}V^*(\beta_+, \beta_-) \end{aligned}$$

By imposing the constraint $\mathcal{H}_R \approx 0$ and manipulating these equations, it is easy to get the following relation :

$$P_nQ_n = k - \Pi_\mu \quad (15)$$

where k is a constant of integration. When the scalar curvature is not constant, we can fix the temporal gauge by choosing ${}^{(4)}R = -t$ which gives directly the following expressions for $Q_n(t)$ and $N(t)$:

$$Q_n = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\beta_ce^{3\mu t} \quad (16)$$

$$N = \frac{3\beta_c}{2k}e^{3\mu t} \quad (17)$$

By some algebraic manipulations, it is possible to reduce the set of canonical equations to a differential system of three coupled equations for the physical variables $\mu(t)$, $\beta_\pm(t)$:

$$\ddot{\mu}t + \dot{\mu} + \frac{9\beta_c^2}{16k^2}e^{6\mu t^2} - \frac{3\beta_c^2}{2k^2}e^{4\mu}V^*(\beta_+, \beta_-)t = 0 \quad (18)$$

$$\ddot{\beta}_{\pm}t + \dot{\beta}_{\pm} + \frac{3\beta_c^2}{8k^2}e^{4\mu}\frac{\partial V^*}{\partial\beta_{\pm}}t = 0 \quad (19)$$

By direct inspection of these equations, we see that the canonical variables μ and $\beta_{\pm}$ become uncoupled when the potential term vanishes, i.e. for Bianchi-type I model.

ADM Hamiltonian

Taking into account relations (15), (16), (17) and defining the new momentum Π_{μ}^* , canonically conjugated to μ , by the following expression :

$$\Pi_{\mu}^* = k + 2P_n Q_n \quad (20)$$

Boulware's action

$$S = \int d^4\Omega \left\{ \Pi_{\mu}\dot{\mu} + \Pi_+\dot{\beta}_+ + \Pi_-\dot{\beta}_- + P_n\dot{Q}_n - N\mathcal{H}_R \right\}$$

with $\mathcal{H}_R$ given by (14), can be written as follows :

$$S = \int d^4\Omega \left\{ \Pi_{\mu}^*\dot{\mu} + \Pi_+\dot{\beta}_+ + \Pi_-\dot{\beta}_- - \mathcal{H}_{ADM} \right\} \quad (21)$$

where the ADM Hamiltonian density is given by the following expression :

$$\mathcal{H}_{ADM} = \frac{1}{4kt} \left[(\Pi_{\mu}^* - k)^2 - \Pi_+^2 - \Pi_-^2 - 3\beta_c^2 t^2 e^{4\mu} V^*(\beta_+, \beta_-) + \frac{3}{4}\beta_c^2 t^3 e^{6\mu} \right] \quad (22)$$

The resulting canonical equations are then written as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mu} &= (\Pi_{\mu}^* - k)/(2kt) \\ \dot{\beta}_{\pm} &= -\Pi_{\pm}/(2kt) \\ \dot{\Pi}_{\mu}^* &= \frac{3\beta_c^2}{k}e^{4\mu}tV^*(\beta_+, \beta_-) - \frac{9\beta_c^2}{8k}e^{6\mu}t^2 \\ \dot{\Pi}_{\pm} &= \frac{3\beta_c^2}{4k}e^{4\mu}t\frac{\partial V^*}{\partial\beta_{\pm}} \end{aligned}$$

and are equivalent to equations (18) and (19).

3.2 Bianchi I model - pure R^2 theory

For Bianchi I model, there are no supermomenta and the potential term V^* vanishes in the super-Hamiltonian constraint (14) :

$$\mathcal{H}_R = \frac{\Pi_+^2 + \Pi_-^2}{4\sqrt{3}Q_n} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}P_n\Pi_\mu - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}P_n^2Q_n + \frac{e^{-3\mu}}{6\beta_c}Q_n^2 \quad (23)$$

From this expression we get the following canonical equations :

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mu} &= -\frac{N}{\sqrt{3}}P_n \\ \dot{\beta}_\pm &= \frac{N}{2\sqrt{3}}\frac{\Pi_\pm}{Q_n} \\ \dot{\Pi}_\mu &= \frac{Ne^{-3\mu}}{2\beta_c}Q_n^2 \\ \dot{Q}_n &= -\frac{N}{\sqrt{3}}(\Pi_\mu + 4P_nQ_n) \\ \dot{P}_n &= \frac{N}{4\sqrt{3}}\left[\left(\frac{\Pi_+}{Q_n}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Pi_-}{Q_n}\right)^2 + 8P_n^2\right] - \frac{Ne^{-3\mu}}{3\beta_c}Q_n \end{aligned}$$

and $\dot{\Pi}_\pm = 0$. By imposing the constraint $\mathcal{H}_R \approx 0$ and manipulating these equations, we get the relation (15) and we can solve the problem if we choose an appropriate temporal gauge.

3.2.1 Case ${}^{(4)}R$ not constant

When the scalar curvature is not constant, we can fix the temporal gauge by choosing ${}^{(4)}R = -t$ which gives directly the expressions (16) and (17) for Q_n and N respectively. As stated above, the vanishing of the potential term V^* leads to uncoupled equations (see (18) and (19)). It is now possible to integrate equations (19) and we get the explicit time dependence of the anisotropic scale functions :

$$\beta_\pm(t) = -\frac{\Pi_\pm}{2k} \ln t + c_\pm \quad (24)$$

with arbitrary constants of integration $c_\pm$. Equation (18) is also simplified and it appears to be the same equation as in Buchdahl's paper [22].

It is also possible to get from the set of canonical equations the following first-order differential equation for $\mu(t)$:

$$\dot{\mu}^2 t^2 + \dot{\mu} t + \frac{3\beta_c^2}{16k^2} e^{6\mu} t^3 - \frac{\Pi_+^2 + \Pi_-^2}{4k^2} = 0 \quad (25)$$

On the surface defined by the super-Hamiltonian constraint, its general solution coincides with the general solution of equation (18) :

$$e^{-3\mu(t)} = \left(\frac{3\sqrt{3}\beta_c}{8kn} \right) t^{3/2} (ct^n + c^{-1}t^{-n}) \quad (26)$$

where $n = \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{\Pi_+^2 + \Pi_-^2}{k^2} + 1 \right)^{1/2}$. This solution is identical to Buchdahl's solution [22] which was obtained from Einstein-Hilbert field equations without any reference to an Hamiltonian framework.

Therefore the general form of the metric can be written :

$$ds^2 = -\frac{9\beta_c^2}{4k^2} e^{6\mu(t)} dt^2 + e^{2\mu(t)} \sum_i t^{2\nu_i} (dx^i)^2 \quad (27)$$

where we have used (17) and (24), and where $\mu(t)$ is given by (26). The parameters ν_i are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_1 &= -\frac{1}{2k} (\Pi_+ + \sqrt{3}\Pi_-) \\ \nu_2 &= -\frac{1}{2k} (\Pi_+ - \sqrt{3}\Pi_-) \\ \nu_3 &= \frac{\Pi_+}{k} \end{aligned}$$

and satisfy $\sum_i \nu_i = 0$ and $\sum_i \nu_i^2 = \frac{1}{6}(4n^2 - 9)$.

ADM Hamiltonian

The ADM Hamiltonian density (22) reduces to the following expression :

$$\mathcal{H}_{ADM} = \frac{1}{4kt} \left[(\Pi_\mu^* - k)^2 - \Pi_+^2 - \Pi_-^2 + \frac{3}{4} \beta_c^2 t^3 e^{6\mu} \right] \quad (28)$$

The corresponding canonical equations are then written as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mu} &= (\Pi_\mu^* - k)/(2kt) \\ \dot{\beta}_\pm &= -\Pi_\pm/(2kt) \\ \dot{\Pi}_\mu^* &= -\frac{9\beta_c^2}{8k} e^{6\mu} t^2 \\ \dot{\Pi}_\pm &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and are equivalent to equations (18) and (19) with a vanishing potential. In particular, Buchdahl's equation mentioned above is a direct consequence of these equations and takes the following form :

$$\ddot{\mu}t + \dot{\mu} + \frac{9\beta_c^2}{16k^2}e^{6\mu}t^2 = 0 \quad (29)$$

It is also possible to consider another gauge than ${}^{(4)}R = -t$ in order to simplify this canonical system. The new choice is ${}^{(4)}R = \rho e^t$, with $\rho = \pm 1$, which can be also used for Bianchi IX model and has the advantage of including both classes of solutions with positive or negative scalar curvature. These solutions have been studied in [21]. By this choice and by an appropriate canonical transformation defined by (20) and $\mu^* = 2\mu + t$, we are led to the following result :

$$S = \int d^4\Omega \left\{ \Pi_\mu^* \dot{\mu}^* + \Pi_+ \dot{\beta}_+ + \Pi_- \dot{\beta}_- - \mathcal{H}_{ADM}^* \right\} \quad (30)$$

where the ADM Hamiltonian density is given by the following expression, which contains no explicit time dependence :

$$\mathcal{H}_{ADM}^* = \frac{1}{4k} \left[4\Pi_\mu^{*2} - \Pi_+^2 - \Pi_-^2 + k^2 - \frac{3}{4}\beta_c^2 \rho^3 e^{3\mu^*} \right] \quad (31)$$

The resulting canonical equations are then written as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mu}^* &= 2\Pi_\mu^*/k \\ \dot{\beta}_\pm &= -\Pi_\pm/(2k) \\ \dot{\Pi}_\mu^* &= \frac{9\beta_c^2}{16k}\rho^3 e^{3\mu^*} \\ \dot{\Pi}_\pm &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding equation for μ^* writes as follows :

$$\ddot{\mu}^* - \frac{9\beta_c^2}{8k^2}\rho^3 e^{3\mu^*} = 0 \quad (32)$$

and its solutions exhibit the asymptotic behaviour of an evolution towards a de Sitter geometry when $\rho > 0$ or a full curvature singularity when $\rho < 0$ [21]. The last one corresponds to the asymptotic behaviour of Buchdahl's solution.

3.2.2 Case ${}^{(4)}R = -4\lambda = \text{constant}$

The variable Q_n writes now $Q_n = -2\sqrt{3}\beta_c\lambda e^{3\mu}$ and we have the freedom of choice for the function $N(t)$. For simplicity we choose $N(t) = 1$. From the set of canonical equations and from the above expression for Q_n , we get the following ODE :

$$\ddot{Q}_n + 3\lambda Q_n = 0 \quad (33)$$

We take $\lambda > 0$ and define $k = \sqrt{3\lambda}$. Then with a suitable definition of the origin of time coordinate, we get the solution for $\mu(t)$

$$e^{3\mu(t)} = A \sin kt$$

with an arbitrary constant A . Equations (19) for $\beta_{\pm}(t)$ can now be solved easily to give

$$\beta_{\pm}(t) = B_{\pm} \ln(\tan kt/2)$$

with $B_{\pm} = (2\sqrt{3}kA)^{-1}\Pi_{\pm}$. Therefore the general form of the metric can be written :

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + \sin^{2/3} kt \sum_i \tan^{2\nu_i}(kt/2) (dx^i)^2 \quad (34)$$

where the parameters ν_i are defined by

$$\nu_1 = B_+ + \sqrt{3}B_-$$

$$\nu_2 = B_+ - \sqrt{3}B_-$$

$$\nu_3 = -2B_+$$

and satisfy $\sum_i \nu_i = 0$ and $\sum_i \nu_i^2 = \frac{2}{3}$. As Buchdahl [22], if we set $\nu_i = n_i - \frac{1}{3}$ and $\tau = \frac{2}{k} \tan(kt/2)$ in this metric, we get :

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + \cos^{2/3} kt \sum_i \tau^{2n_i} (dx^i)^2 \quad (35)$$

where the parameters n_i satisfy $\sum_i n_i = 1$ and $\sum_i n_i^2 = 1$. If we take the limit of this metric for $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, it reduces to a Kasner-like metric

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + \sum_i t^{2n_i} (dx^i)^2$$

as expected because any vacuum solution of general relativity field equations is also a vacuum solution of the quadratic R^2 theory.

3.2.3 Isotropic case (Einstein-de Sitter model)

If we look for the isotropic form of the general metric (27) we have to impose further constraints on the parameters ν_i ($\nu_1 = \nu_2 = \nu_3$). It implies that $\Pi_{\pm} = 0$ and therefore $\nu_i = 0, \forall i$ and $n = \frac{3}{2}$. In this case, the metric reduces to the following isotropic form :

$$ds^2 = -(t^3 + 1)^{-2} dt^2 + (t^3 + 1)^{-2/3} (dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2) \quad (36)$$

In the next section, we recover this result from the Hamiltonian formulation of Einstein-de Sitter model.

4 FLRW cosmological models

4.1 Closed FLRW model - pure R^2 theory

We consider the isotropic metric of the closed FLRW cosmological model as our starting point :

$$ds^2 = -N^2 dt^2 + A^2(t) \left[(\tilde{\omega}^1)^2 + (\tilde{\omega}^2)^2 + (\tilde{\omega}^3)^2 \right]$$

with the following basis 1-forms :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{\omega}^1 = (1 - r^2)^{(-1/2)} dr \\ \tilde{\omega}^2 = r d\theta \\ \tilde{\omega}^3 = r \sin \theta d\phi \end{array} \right.$$

With the same prescriptions as above concerning the Hamiltonian formalism, we get the following action :

$$S_{geom} = \int d^4\Omega \left\{ \Pi_A \dot{A} + \Pi_Q \dot{Q} - N\mathcal{H} \right\}$$

where the Hamiltonian constraint is given by

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{Q^2 A}{6\beta_c} - \frac{\Pi_A \Pi_Q}{\sqrt{3}A} - \Lambda A^3 - \sqrt{3}Q + \frac{1}{8\pi G} \left(\frac{\Pi_Q^2}{A} - 3A \right) \quad (37)$$

and Q is defined by

$$Q = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\beta_c A {}^{(4)}R \quad (38)$$

Note that Hawking and Luttrell [6] make use of another canonical variable Q^* defined by the following expression :

$$Q^* = A(1 + 2\beta_c {}^{(4)}R)$$

It is not difficult to perform a canonical transformation from our canonical variables to those used by these authors in order to write the Hamiltonian constraint (37) (without cosmological constant) as they do :

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{A^2}{2\beta_c}(Q^* - A)^2 + \Pi_A \Pi_{Q^*} - Q^* A$$

In the pure R^2 theory, the Hamiltonian constraint reduces to the following expression :

$$\mathcal{H}_R = \frac{Q^2 A}{6\beta_c} - \frac{\Pi_A \Pi_Q}{\sqrt{3}A} - \sqrt{3}Q \quad (39)$$

The corresponding canonical equations are given by :

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{A} &= -\frac{N \Pi_Q}{\sqrt{3}A} \\ \dot{\Pi}_A &= -N \left[\frac{\Pi_A \Pi_Q}{\sqrt{3}A^2} + \frac{Q^2}{6\beta_c} \right] \\ \dot{Q} &= -\frac{N \Pi_A}{\sqrt{3}A} \\ \dot{\Pi}_Q &= N \left[\sqrt{3} + \frac{AQ}{3\beta_c} \right] \end{aligned}$$

4.1.1 Case ${}^{(4)}R$ not constant

By imposing the constraint $\mathcal{H}_R \approx 0$ and manipulating the canonical equations, we get the following relation :

$$A \Pi_A = Q \Pi_Q + k$$

with an arbitrary constant k . By choosing as usual ${}^{(4)}R = -t$, we can reduce the canonical equations to the following differential equation for the scale function $A(t)$:

$$\dot{A}^2 t + A \dot{A} + \frac{3\beta_c^2}{16k^2} A^6 t (12 + A^2 t) = 0 \quad (40)$$

As in the paper by Schmidt [23], the integration of this equation leads to elliptic integrals. Note that it is not possible to avoid them by other choices of the lapse function. On the other end, it is easy to recover the particular solution $A(t) = t/\sqrt{3}$ corresponding to ${}^{(4)}R = 24/t^2$.

4.1.2 Case ${}^{(4)}R = 4\lambda = \text{constant}$

The variable Q writes now $Q = 2\sqrt{3}\beta_c\lambda A$ and we have the freedom of choice for the function $N(t)$. For simplicity we choose $N(t) = 1$. From the set of canonical equations and from the above expression for Q , we recover the exact known solution which describes a de Sitter space-time :

$$A(t) = \sqrt{\frac{3}{\lambda}} \cosh\left(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{3}}t\right)$$

If we take $\lambda = 0$, the canonical equations are directly integrated and give the solution of Einstein's theory with incoherent radiation as source, which is already mentioned in Schmidt [23] :

$$A(t) = \sqrt{C - t^2}$$

with an arbitrary constant C .

4.2 Einstein-de Sitter model

The metric of the Einstein-de Sitter model writes as follows

$$ds^2 = -N^2 dt^2 + A^2(t) (dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2)$$

The Hamiltonian form of the action is

$$S_{geom} = \int d^4x \left\{ \Pi_A \dot{A} + \Pi_Q \dot{Q} - N\mathcal{H} \right\}$$

where Q is already given by (38) and the super-Hamiltonian constraint writes as follows :

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{Q^2 A}{6\beta_c} - \frac{\Pi_A \Pi_Q}{\sqrt{3}A} - \Lambda A^3 - \frac{1}{8\pi G} \left(\frac{\Pi_Q^2}{A} - 3A \right) \quad (41)$$

In the pure R^2 theory, it reduces to the following expression :

$$\mathcal{H}_R = \frac{Q^2 A}{6\beta_c} - \frac{\Pi_A \Pi_Q}{\sqrt{3}A} \quad (42)$$

The corresponding canonical equations are given by :

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{A} &= -\frac{N\Pi_Q}{\sqrt{3}A} \\ \dot{Q} &= -\frac{N\Pi_A}{\sqrt{3}A} \\ \dot{\Pi}_A &= -N \left[\frac{\Pi_A \Pi_Q}{\sqrt{3}A^2} + \frac{Q^2}{6\beta_c} \right] \\ \dot{\Pi}_Q &= -\frac{NQ A}{3\beta_c} \end{aligned}$$

By imposing the super-Hamiltonian constraint and manipulating the canonical equations, we find a relation among $A(t)$ and $Q(t)$:

$$A^3 = \frac{Q^3}{2\sqrt{3}\beta_c k_1^2} + k_2 \quad (43)$$

where k_1 and k_2 are arbitrary constants of integration. We get three distinct cases :

(i) If ${}^{(4)}R$ is *not constant*, we choose the temporal gauge as usual by imposing ${}^{(4)}R = -t$ which is equivalent to $Q = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\beta_c A t$. Therefore equation (43) gives the following expression for $A(t)$:

$$A(t) = k_2^{1/3} \left(1 + \frac{3\beta_c^2}{16k_1^2} t^3 \right)^{-1/3} \quad (44)$$

This last expression together with the canonical equations gives the function $N(t)$:

$$N(t) = \frac{3\beta_c}{2k_1} \left(1 + \frac{3\beta_c^2}{16k_1^2} t^3 \right)^{-1} \quad (45)$$

With an appropriate change of scale, expressions (44) and (45) allow us to write the general metric in the form (36).

(ii) Let us note that if we set $k_2 = 0$ in (43) and $N(t) = 1$ we get a de Sitter-like model (or anti-de Sitter) : $A(t) = e^{\Lambda t}$ where $\Lambda = \pm \left(\frac{k_1}{18\beta_c} \right)^{1/3}$. This particular solution is recovered when we analyze the case ${}^{(4)}R = -4\lambda = \text{constant}$.

This de Sitter solution is a typical example of the relevance of the R^2 -theory to the inflationary scenario. As a matter of fact, in the field equations, the terms specifically coming from the quadratic part of the Lagrangian density can play the role of a cosmological constant. Moreover it is well known that the addition of the R^2 term in the gravitational action introduces a new spin-0 scalar field which may act as a natural inflaton in the early universe [5] [24].

(iii) When ${}^{(4)}R = 0$, canonical equations are easily integrated and give, with an appropriate choice of gauge, the following isotropic solution :

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + t(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2)$$

which was found independently from a search of Groebner bases of the system of algebraic equations associated with power-law type solutions of the field equations [25].

4.3 ADM Hamiltonian

For the isotropic closed model considered above, it is also possible to perform a canonical transformation which allows one to define an ADM Hamiltonian density. The procedure is the same as in the anisotropic cases (subsections 3.1 and 3.2). We set $A = e^\alpha$. The action can be written as follows :

$$S = \int d^4\Omega \{ \Pi_\alpha \dot{\alpha} - \mathcal{H}_{ADM} \} \quad (46)$$

where the ADM Hamiltonian density is given by the following expression :

$$\mathcal{H}_{ADM} = \frac{1}{4kt} \left[(\Pi_\alpha - k)^2 + 9\beta_c^2 t^2 e^{4\alpha} - \frac{3}{4}\beta_c^2 t^3 e^{6\alpha} \right] \quad (47)$$

(Note that, for the Einstein-de Sitter model, the term proportional to $e^{4\alpha}$ vanishes). The resulting canonical equations are then written as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\alpha} &= (\Pi_\alpha - k)/(2kt) \\ \dot{\Pi}_\alpha &= -\frac{9\beta_c^2}{8kt} \left[8e^{4\alpha} t^2 + e^{6\alpha} t^3 \right] \end{aligned}$$

and are equivalent to the following second-order equation

$$\ddot{\alpha}t + \dot{\alpha} + \frac{9\beta_c^2}{8k^2} \left(\frac{1}{2}t^2 e^{6\alpha} + 4te^{4\alpha} \right) = 0 \quad (48)$$

which particularizes equation (18) to the isotropic regime.

5 Conclusions

The Hamiltonian formalism for quadratic theories of gravity, developed first by Boulware, has been applied to the study of the classical behaviour of spatially homogeneous cosmological models. We have considered here a Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L} = R + \beta_c R^2$.

As it is well known within the context of General Relativity the Hamiltonian formalism is only consistent for the Bianchi class A models, with the exception of one particular Bianchi class B model, namely the type V [26]. In quadratic theories of gravity the situation is more complex. We have checked explicitly that for pure R^2 theories only Bianchi class A models can be dealt with the Hamiltonian formalism as described in our paper, i.e. without explicitly taking into account surface terms. However, in the case of a pure quadratic theory described by a Lagrangian density containing only the Weyl term $C^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}C_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$, is it possible to neglect surface terms for diagonal metrics of class A only, with the exception of the VI_0 case.

For Bianchi-type I and IX models, we have given the explicit forms of the super-Hamiltonian constraint, of the ADM Hamiltonian density and of the corresponding canonical equations. These equations are first-order and their compact form is well suited for analytical as well as numerical calculations. Therefore, their study provides an interesting alternative to the analysis of the usual fourth-order field equations. In the case of a pure quadratic theory $\mathcal{L} = \beta_c R^2$, we have solved them analytically for Bianchi I model. The solution found is identical to Buchdhal's solution. For Bianchi-type IX model, we have reduced the first-order equations of the Hamiltonian system to three coupled second-order equations for the true physical degrees of freedom. This

discussion has been extended to isotropic closed as well as Einstein-de Sitter-type FLRW models and the explicit resolution of the corresponding canonical equations has enabled us to recover very easily known exact solutions.

We also have, for Bianchi and FLRW models, performed the complete ADM reduction programme, in the line of Misner's pioneering work [27]. The expressions of the super-Hamiltonian constraint and of the ADM Hamiltonian density given here provide an adequate starting point for the quantization of these models, respectively in the framework of Hartle and Hawking's method [28] and of Misner's ADM-type quantum cosmology.

We will tackle this problem as well as the Hamiltonian formulation of the conformally invariant theory ($\mathcal{L} = \alpha_c C^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} C_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$) in a future paper, with the hope of generalizing Hawking-Luttrell's work to Bianchi models.

Another interesting possibility of dealing with the pure R^2 theory is to take advantage of the conformal equivalence of this theory to General Relativity minimally coupled to a self-interacting scalar field [29], as it was performed in [9] for the R^3 case. However, for the conformally invariant theory, the situation is much more involved since it requires the introduction of a new spin-2 symmetric tensor besides the metric tensorial field. Accordingly the explicit use of this equivalence does not seem to lead, in this case, to any substantial simplification of the formalism in comparison to the Hamiltonian approach.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Contract No. ARC 94/99-178 "Action de Recherche Concertée de la Communauté Française" (Belgium). L.Q. thanks the Belgian "Fonds National de la Recherche Scientifique" for financial support (F.R.I.A. grant). We thank also the referees for some useful comments and suggestions which helped us to achieve a more comprehensive version of our manuscript.

References

- [1] Green M, Schwarz J and Witten E 1987 *Superstring theory* Vols. 1, 2 (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press);
Zwiebach B 1985 *Phys. Lett. B* **156** 315
- [2] Bento M C and Bertolami O 1995 *Cosmological Solutions of Higher-Curvature String Effective Theories with Dilatons* Preprint CERN-TH/95-63;
Ovrut B A 1995 *Higher Derivative Gravitation in Superstrings* Preprint hep-th/9506028;
Hindawi A, Ovrut B A and Waldram D 1995 *Higher-Derivative Gravitation in Bosonic and Superstring Theories and a new mechanism for Supersymmetry breaking* Preprint hep-th/9509006
- [3] Weinberg S 1979 in *General Relativity* ed S W Hawking and W Israel (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press) p 407
- [4] Stelle K 1977 *Phys. Rev. D* **16** 953
- [5] Starobinsky A A 1980 *Phys. Lett.* **91 B** 99;
Mijic M, Morris M M and Suen W M 1986 *Phys. Rev. D* **34** 2934;
Müller, V Schmidt H J and Starobinsky A A 1988 *Phys. Lett. B* **202** 198;
Mijic M and Stein-Schabes J 1988 *Phys. Lett. B* **203** 353
- [6] Hawking S W and Luttrell J C 1984 *Nucl. Phys. B* **247** 250
- [7] Kasper U 1993 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **10** 869
- [8] Pimentel L O and Obregón O 1994 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **11** 2219
- [9] van Elst H, Lidsey J E and Tavakol R 1994 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **11** 2483

- [10] Govaerts J 1991 *Hamiltonian Quantisation and Constrained Dynamics* (Leuven: Leuven University Press);
Henneaux M and Teitelboim C 1992 *Quantization of Gauge Systems* (Princeton: Princeton University Press)
- [11] Dirac P A M 1958 *Proc. R. Soc. A* **246** 333
- [12] Arnowitt R, Deser S and Misner C W 1960 *Phys. Rev.* **117** 1595
- [13] Ryan M 1972 *Hamiltonian Cosmology* (Berlin: Springer)
- [14] Esposito G 1992 *Quantum Gravity, Quantum Cosmology and Lorentzian Geometries* (Berlin: Springer);
Pinto-Neto N 1995 *Quantum Cosmology* (to appear in *Proceedings of the VIII Brazilian School of Cosmology and Gravitation*, Rio de Janeiro, july, 10-23, 1995)
- [15] Boulware D G 1984 in *Quantum Theory of Gravity* ed S M Christensen (Bristol: Adam Hilger) p 267
- [16] Buchbinder I L and Lyakhovich S L 1987 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **4** 1487
- [17] Buchbinder I L, Karataeva I Y and Lyakhovich S L 1991 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **8** 1113
- [18] Pons J M 1989 *Lett. Math. Phys.* **17** 181
- [19] Misner C W, Thorne K S and Wheeler J A 1973 *Gravitation* (San Francisco: Freeman)
- [20] Barrow J and Sirousse-Zia H 1989 *Phys. Rev. D* **39** 2187;
Barrow J and Cotsakis S 1989 *Phys. Lett. B* **232** 172
- [21] Deruelle N and Spindel P 1990 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **7** 1599;
Spindel P and Zinque M 1993 *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **2** 279;
Spindel P 1994 *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **3** 273

- [22] Buchdahl H A 1978 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **11** 871
- [23] Schmidt H J 1993 *Gen. Rel. and Grav.* **25** 87
- [24] Dobado A and Maroto A L 1995 *Phys. Rev. D* **52** 1895
- [25] Caprasse H, Demaret J, Gatermann K and Melenk H 1991 *Int. J. Mod. Phys. C* **2** 601
- [26] Sneddon G E 1976 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **9** 229
- [27] Misner C W 1969 *Phys. Rev.* **186** 1319;
 Misner C W 1970 in *Relativity* (Carmeli, Fickler and Witten, eds.) (San Francisco: Plenum Pub. Co.) 55
- [28] Hartle J and Hawking S W 1983 *Phys. Rev. D* **28** 2960
- [29] Whitt B 1984 *Phys. Lett.* **145b** 176;
 Magnano G, Ferraris M and Francaviglia M 1987 *Gen. Rel. and Grav.* **19** 465;
 Jakubiek A and Kijowski J 1988 *Phys. Rev. D* **37** 1406;
 Schmidt H J 1988 *Phys. Lett. B* **214** 519;
 Maeda K 1989 *Phys. Rev. D* **39** 3159;
 Magnano G and Sokolowski L M 1994 *Phys. Rev. D* **50** 5039